Testing Dirty Systems

"Traditional testing approaches generally do not work with dirty systems. A different approach is needed if testing is going to be effective. However, the tailored approaches need merely to be extensions of good testing practices.

"In order to understand the difference between common testing approaches and the approach needed for dirty system testing, let's look at a coffee-making analogy. Let's assume that we have brewed a pot of coffee and it is filled with specks of coffee bean. These bean specks are errors or defects in the coffee. The objective of testing is to remove these defects. Traditional approaches might involve the use of tweezers to pick out the bean specks one at a time. Although after enough time and effort all of the bean specks would be removed, by then the coffee would probably be cold and undesirable. The dirty system approach proposed in Testing Dirty Systems would be to pour the coffee through a filter. The filter would catch all of the bean specks and we would have hot, defect-free coffee in almost an instant.

"Dirty system testing incorporates the experiences of leading test organizations over long periods of time. It incorporates good testing practices together with knowledge of why systems fail. In essence, the approach incorporates the combined experiences of many testers into an effective test practice."

—from Chapter 2

About the Authors



William E. Perry is the executive director of the Quality Assurance Institute (QAI) based in Orlando and author of more than fifty books on quality assurance in data processing. He served on the 1988 and 1989 board of examiners of the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award.

Randall W. Rice is a consultant and instructor on software and systems testing. Based in Oklahoma City, he is the principal consultant of Rice Consulting Services and has more than 25 years' experience in building and testing information systems. He is also publisher and editor of *The Software Quality Advisor* newsletter.



Partial Contents

The Dirty System Challenge

What Is a Dirty System? How to Measure the Dirtiness of the Software to Be Tested

The Six-Step Process for Testing Dirty Systems

Dirty Systems Can Be Tested The Importance of Having a Process for Testing Dirty Systems The Process for Testing Dirty

Step 1: Dirty System Diagnostic Step

Systems

The Black Holes of System Knowledge

Step 2: Dirty System Test Planning

The Impact of Dirty Systems on Test Planning

How Tools Can Help in Designing Test Cases

Types of Test Cases

The Role of Regression Testing in Dirty Systems

Test Scripts

Step 3: Dirty System Test Execution

The Twenty Most Common Software Problems

How to Test Each Problem Area Requirements Management Tools

Managing Change in Testing Dirty Systems

Change Management Process Dirty System Test Execution by Test Phases

Dirty System Test Execution by Test Types

Manual versus Automated Testing of Dirty Systems

Step 4: Dirty System Test Results Analysis

The Impact of System Dirtiness on Test Analysis

Step 5: Writing Dirty System Test Reports

Getting the Most Value from Defect Reports Defect Tracking Tools

Step 6: Using Test Results to Clean Up the Dirty System

The Difference Between Quality of Design and Quality of Performance



Testing Dirty Systems

by William E. Perry and Randall W. Rice

ISBN: 0-932633-56-0 ©2006 est. 368 pages softcover \$41.95 (includes \$6 UPS in US)

From the Authors of Surviving the Top Ten Challenges of Software Testing, Guidance on Testing the Toughest Systems

Some systems are more difficult to test than others. Software testers contend with undefined or partially defined requirements; outdated, incomplete, or nonexistent documentation; complex logic; a mixture of languages; or worse. All of these factors make a system dirty, or virtually untestable.

In Testing Dirty Systems, authors William Perry and Randall Rice teach testers a six-step process for approaching such systems: system diagnosis • test planning • test execution • test analysis • report development • dirty system repair.

Because of the unknown characteristics of the dirty system, the traditional validation of comparing actual processing results against the expected processing results is often inadequate. Analysis of a dirty system must go much further into describing the

expected operational characteristics of the system, including

- probability of failure based on failures during testing
- expected difficulty of making changes based on inadequacy of documentation
- estimate of defects that remain in the system
- operating conditions that will lead to failures
- coverage levels based on code or test cases
- complexity levels based on coding structure

Project leaders, independent testers, quality assurance personnel, and IS auditors will benefit from this book, as well as end-users and customers with a vested interest in the success of their systems.

Read more about this book at www.dorsethouse.com/books/tds.html